Effects of Empagliflozin in Men and Women with Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction: **Results from the EMPEROR-Preserved Trial**

Javed Butler¹ on behalf of the EMPEROR-Preserved Trial Committees and Investigators

¹Baylor Scott & White Health, Dallas, TX, USA

BACKGROUND

- Heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is more common among women than men, and HFpEF pathophysiology and phenotype vary by sex.^{1–5}
- Men and women with HFpEF may differ in their clinical characteristics and their response to therapy.⁶
- Empagliflozin was shown to significantly reduce the risk of a composite of cardiovascular (CV) death or hospitalization for heart failure (HHF) in patients with HFpEF in the EMPEROR-Preserved trial.⁷





OBJECTIVE

 To examine the sex-based differences in the effects of empagliflozin on pre-specified clinical outcomes and health status.

METHODS

- In the EMPEROR-Preserved trial, patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II–IV symptoms and a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) >40% were randomized to receive empagliflozin 10 mg once daily or placebo in addition to standard of care.
- The primary outcome was time to first event of the composite of CV death or HHF.
- The secondary outcomes included first HHF, total (first and recurrent) HHF, CV death, all-cause death, and health status measured by Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ).
- Time-to-first-event outcomes were analyzed using Cox regression models. Total (first and recurrent) HHF events were evaluated using a joint frailty model with CV death as competing risk.
- Changes in health status over time were assessed using a mixed model for repeated measurements.

RESULTS

- Among 5988 participants, women (n=2676; 44.7%) were older, had higher body mass index, higher LVEF, lower estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), were more likely to have nonischemic etiology, and were less likely to have diabetes compared with men (**Table 1**).
- Women had worse baseline health status based on NYHA class and KCCQ Clinical Summary Score estimates compared with men (Table 1).

Poster 411: 71st Annual American College of Cardiology (ACC) Scientific Session, Washington, DC, April 2–4, 2022. **Presenter:** Dr Javed Butler, Baylor Scott & White Health, Dallas, TX, USA; email: butlzih@gmail.com.

References

1. Borlaug BA et al. Circulation 2011;123:2006–2013.

2. Scantlebury DC et al. Curr Opin Cardiol 2011;26:562–568. 5. Beale AL et al. JACC Heart Fail 2019;7:239–249. 7. Anker S et al. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1451–1461. 3. Gori M et al. Eur J Heart Fail 2014;16:535–542.

Characte Age, years Race*, Asian Black/ White Other Missir Geograp Asia P Europe North Latin Othe KCCQ, m CSS OSS BMI, kg/r LVEF at so NYHA clas Systolic b Diastolic Heart rate Hemoglo eGFR, ml/n NT-proBN History o Hyper Diabe Atrial Coron Ischer Medicati ACEI Diuret Beta-k Minerc Statin ategorical variat ARB excludes valsartan when taken with sacubitril because sacubitril/valsartan is shown as ARNi. TSS, Total Summary Score.

| stics | Men (N=3312) | Women (N=2676) | p-value | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|--|
| , mean ± SD | 71.0±9.4 | 73.0±9.4 | <0.001 | |
| Z) | | | | |
| | 522 (15.8) | 302 (11.3) | <0.001 | |
| frican American | 121 (3.7) | 137 (5.1) | | |
| | 2504 (75.6) | 2038 (76.2) | | |
| cluding mixed race | 163 (4.9) | 199 (7.4) | | |
| | 2 (0,1) | 0 | | |
| ic region, n (%) | | | | |
| cific | 439 (13.3) | 247 (9.2) | | |
| | 1466 (44.3) | 1223 (45.7) | <0.001 | |
| nerica | 403 (12.2) | 316 (11.8) | | |
| nerica | 799 (24.1) | 716 (26.8) | | |
| | 205 (6.2) | 174 (6.5) | | |
| an ± SD | | | | |
| | 74.8±19.9 | 65.0±21.4 | < 0.001 | |
| | 72.9±20.0 | 64.1±21.5 | < 0.001 | |
| | 77.3±20.6 | 68.7±22.7 | < 0.001 | |
| 1 year, n (%) | 801 (24.2) | 568 (21.2) | 0.007 | |
| , mean ± SD | 29.4±5.6 | 30.4±6.2 | < 0.001 | |
| eening, %, mean ± SD | 52.7±8.3 | 56.3±9.0 | < 0.001 | |
| s, n (%) | | | | |
| | 2808 (84.8) | 2075 (77.5) | <0.001 | |
| | 494 (14.9) | 589 (22.0) | | |
| od pressure, mmHg, mean ± SD | 131.6±15.4 | 132.1±15.9 | 0.251 | |
| ood pressure, mmHg, mean ± SD | 76.0±10.5 | 75.4±10.7 | 0.055 | |
| bpm, mean ± SD | 69.9±11.6 | 71.0±12.1 | < 0.001 | |
| n, g/dl, mean ± SD | 13.7±1.6 | 12.9±1.4 | < 0.001 | |
| nin/1.73 m², mean ± SD | 62.6±19.8 | 58.2±19.6 | <0.001 | |
| pg/ml, median (IQR) | 989 (516, 1758) | 953 (480, 1711) | 0.119 | |
| omorbidities, n (%) | | | | |
| nsion | 2962 (89.4) | 2462 (92.0) | < 0.001 | |
| es mellitus | 1682 (50.8) | 1256 (46.9) | 0.003 | |
| prillation | 1686 (50.9) | 1371 (51.2) | 0.770 | |
| ry artery disease | 1427 (43.1) | 667 (24.9) | < 0.001 | |
| c etiology of heart failure | 1411 (42.6) | 706 (26.4) | < 0.001 | |
| n use, n (%) | | | | |
| RB†, ARNi | 2668 (80.6) | 2164 (80.9) | 0.762 | |
| | 2788 (84.2) | 2375 (88.8) | < 0.001 | |
| ocker | 2882 (87.0) | 2285 (85.4) | 0.069 | |
| ocorticoid receptor antagonist | 1231 (37.2) | 1013 (37.9) | 0.585 | |
| | 2404 (72.6) | 1727 (64.5) | < 0.001 | |

Race was self-reported. Those who identified with more than 1 race or with no race were classitied as 'other'

ACEi, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNi, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; BMI, body mass index; bpm, beats per minute; CSS, Clinical Summary Score; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HHF, hospitalization for heart failure; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association; OSS, Overall Summary Score; SD, standard deviation;

Disclosures

• Empagliflozin treatment improved the primary outcome of time to first CV death or HHF (Figure 1), as well as the secondary outcomes of total HHF and first HHF, irrespective of sex (all interaction p-values >0.05; Figure 2).





CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HHF, hospitalization of heart failure; HR, hazard ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

CONCLUSIONS

• Empagliflozin produced similar benefits on clinical outcomes and health status outcomes in men and women with HFpEF. The effect of empagliflozin on the risk of CV death and HHF was consistent, irrespective of baseline ejection fraction in both men and women.



| ubgroup | Adjusted mean difference (95% CI), empagliflozin 10 mg versus placebo | Interaction p-value for treatment by sev | |
|--|--|---|--|
| (CCQ-TSS Week 12 Men Women | 1.90 (0.84, 2.96) 1.60 (0.42, 2.79) | 0.717 | |
| Week 32 Men Women – | 2.05 (0.88, 3.23) 0.86 (-0.45, 2.18) | 0.185 | |
| Men Women (CCQ-CSS | 1.98 (0.74, 3.21) 2.19 (0.81, 3.57) | 0.822 | |
| Veek 12 Men Women | 1.03 (0.07, 1.98) 1.04 (-0.02, 2.11) | 0.982 | |
| Meek 52 Men Women | 1.43 (0.35, 2.52) 0.99 (-0.21, 2.20) | 0.593 | |
| Meek 52 Men Women (CCQ-OSS | Image: 1.38 (0.23, 2.54) Image: 1.63 (0.35, 2.92) | 0.777 | |
| Week 12 Men Women Mook 32 | 1.35 (0.40, 2.29) 0.79 (-0.26, 1.85) | 0.445 | |
| Week 32 Men Women | 1.83 (0.78, 2.89) 1.16 (-0.03, 2.34) | 0.403 | |
| Men Women | 1.78 (0.65, 2.91) 1.39 (0.13, 2.65) | 0.650 | |

• KCCQ improvement with empagliflozin treatment was similar in both sexes (Figure 3).

CI, confidence interval; CSS, Clinical Summary Score; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; OSS, Overall Summary Score; TSS, Total Summary Score.

• Empagliflozin reduced the risk of CV death or HHF comparably in both sexes, regardless of baseline LVEF (**Figure 4**).

Figure 4. The effect of empagliflozin on time to first CV death or HHF in women and men by baseline LVEF



Acknowledgments

The EMPEROR-Preserved trial (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03057951) was funded by the Boehringer Ingelheim & Eli Lilly and Company Diabetes Alliance. Graphical support was provided by 7.4 Limited and Elevate Medical Affairs, and supported financially by Boehringer Ingelheim. The author was fully responsible for all content and editorial decisions, was involved at all stages of poster development, and has approved the final version.

SC-US-74094